Environment Scrutiny Panel

PUBLIC MEETING

Record of Meeting

Date: 6th November 2007. Meeting Number: 63

Present	Deputy R.C. Duhamel (Chairman) (RD)
	Connétable K.A. Le Brun of St. Mary (KB)
	Connétable A.S. Crowcroft of St. Helier (SC)
	Deputy P.V.F. Le Claire (PLC)
Apologies	
Absent	
In attendance	Mrs. C. Le Quesne, Scrutiny Officer
	Mr. M. Robbins, Scrutiny Officer

Ref Back	Agenda matter	Action
1.	Air Quality	
	The Panel recalled that it had previously approved the expenditure of approximately £1000 for a radio advertisement programme to draw attention to its air quality review. The Panel further recalled that it had delegated responsibility for the content of the advert to the Chairman.	CLQ
	The Panel noted that the Chairman, upon discussion with a representative from Radio 103, had decided that two styles of advertisement would be more appropriate. The Panel agreed the additional expenditure required for the two advert option and agreed the wording of the advert relating to the Tunnel. The second advertisement was considered to need further work and the Constable of St Helier agreed to provide a re-worded script of the second advertisement in time for the deadline from Radio 103 on Wednesday 7 th November 2007.	SC
	The Panel noted the requirement for input from Members into the preparation of questions for the Public Hearings.	
	RD, KLB, SC, PLC	
2.	Waste Plant Review	
	The Panel was provided with a presentation explaining the current status of the review. It outlined what was required to move the review forward. The Panel endorsed the presentation and agreed that it outlined the aims,	

ourrest position and required di	reation of the review	
current position and required dir		
The Panel agreed that a respon where each Member was alloca and permitted to progress those	ated areas of responsibility	MR
The Panel considered the estate be imperative and was mindful to requirement of the Minister for Services to present a proposition preferred option of waste plant that this was expected during the quarter of 2008. The Panel co confirmed that it needed to come end of the first quarter. Delaying the decision was not the respon	that it was governed by the Transport and Technical on to the States stating his t. The Minister had stated he later part of the second onsidered its options and plete its review prior to the ng the States from making	MR
It was noted that the forens interviewed by the Panel was o be available for the Panel to Friday 9 th November 2007. The the plant types was discussed a to be brought to the next meeting	out of the Island and would interview at 9.30 am on the need for an adviser on and further information was	MR/RD
The Panel noted that an official accounts for the Bellozanne En- been requested from the Services Department. (Docume The request was noted to in- subsidies, both current and for need for further financial inform the instructions of the forensic a	ergy from Waste plant had Transport and Technical ent 5.5 in the review file). clude details of levels of r the last two years. The nation would be guided by	MR
The Panel considered the file p each Member containing docur was advised that the file contain the Members might file related agreed that any documentation the review would go through th to all members for their fil documentation which did not would not reach the advisors considered within the review.	nentation on the review. It ned eight sections in which documentation. The Panel they required to be part of e office and be distributed le. It was mindful that find its way into the file	MR
Being conscious of how su approach to an Energy from Wa Minister for Transport and Tech agreed to a publicity campaign in order to work on a chan Members, Department Officers public.	aste Plant had been by the hnical Services, the Panel over the life of the review ge of mindset of States	

	for advertising. The package gave three outlines and the Panel agreed that it needed the larger package as a minimum requirement. It approved the £3000 expenditure for this product and confirmed that it wished to maintain open options for the extension of this during the course of the review. The Panel confirmed that the aim of the review was to present properly costed alternatives with enough publicity and support that the Minister became aware of viable alternative options to his preference of a large Energy from Waste Plant.	MR
	Involvement of States Members in fact finding visits, presentations and one to one discussions was discussed. The panel agreed that this needed further consideration and would be discussed at a future meeting in order that full facts and figures could be given on a personal basis to all States Members. It agreed that this was of the utmost importance as it would be the States Members who made the decision as to the nature of the plant. The Panel noted that an incident had occurred to a fuel farm in Dartford and required information be obtained.	MR
3.	Next Meeting The Panel noted that the date for its next meeting would be Thursday 15th November 2007 at 09.00 hrs in the 1 st Floor Meeting Room of Morier House to deal with aspects of Waste but mainly to discuss the Panels' response to the Energy Consultation Document. The Panel noted that its response must be submitted prior to the 7 th December 2007. RD, KLB, SC, PLC	MR

Signed

Date:

.....

.....

Chairman Environment Panel